APPENDIX O

Deposition of Joe Hune - 8/22/2018

League of Women Voters of Michigan, et al. v. Ruth Johnson, Secretary of the State of Michigan

		Page 110			Page 112
1		then the next line says "And he said it with a	1		quote, wide discretion in lines, close quote?
2		straight face."	2	Α	My testimony has been just contrary to that all
3		What do you understand that to mean?	3		day.
4	Α	I have no idea. I don't even know who wrote it.	4	Q	Okay. So it's fair to say that you and he have a
5	Q	Okay. Well, as you read it here today, what do you	5		fundamental disagreement about the Apol criteria
6		understand the implication to be?	6		and what they do or do not allow?
7	Α	Well, I said earlier I'm an awful jovial fellow,	7	Α	Absolutely.
8		and I'm always smiling, so maybe that's it.	8	Q	Okay. The last sentence of his email is "You may
9	Q	Okay. You can put that one aside.	9		need to help educate them before they say too many
10		What discussions have you had with Thad	10		regrettable things publicly."
11		McCotter about the Apol standards?	11		Did anyone ever talk to you about the way
12		And you rolled your eyes. Why did you do	12		that you spoke about Apol criteria?
13		that?	13	Α	
14	Α	I don't know Thad well, but if you ever talked to	14		MR. KELLEY: Okay. You can put that one
15		him, he's always the smartest man in the room.	15		aside.
16	Q	Okay. So that answers the question why you rolled	16		Let's take a quick break if it's okay.
17		your eyes.	17		MR. HANSELMAN: Yeah.
18		What discussions did you have with him	18		(Break taken at 1:45 p.m.)
19	_	about the Apol standards?	19	_	(Break concluded at 1:56 p.m.)
20	А	I don't recall any specifics. I believe I chatted	20	Q	(MR. KELLEY) Senator, we're back from a quick
21	_	with the congressman once on the issue.	21		break. You understand you're still under oath?
22	Q	· ·	22	A	
23	Α	· ·	23	Q	The process for actually drawing the maps that came
24		The most bizarre fellow you could probably ever	24		out of the 2011 redistricting effort, I believe you
25		meet.	25		testified earlier that it was Mr. Marquardt who did
		Page 111			Page 113
1	Q	But what do you recall about your conversation with	1		the bulk of that work to draw the maps. Is that
2		him about Apol criteria?	2		correct?
3	Α	I don't recall any specifics.	3	Α	Correct.
4		(Deposition Exhibit Number 255	4	Q	When did that effort begin? Do you know when he
5		was marked for identification.)	5		began working on the maps?
6	Q	(MR. KELLEY) Okay. I'm going to hand you a	6	Α	I don't.
7		document that's been marked as Exhibit 255 which	7	Q	From my review of some of the documents, it appears
8		has a Bates number Timmer000747. Take a look at	8		that it may have begun around the time that the
9		that and you're not on this email, so don't look	9		census data were delivered. Is that generally
10		for your email address but I'm going to ask you	10		consistent with your recollection?
11		some questions about what Mr. McCotter had to say.	11	Α	Sure.
12		Have you seen this document before?	12	Q	It's not inconsistent with your recollection?
13	Α	I don't think so.	13	Α	Correct.
14	Q	Have you ever talked with Mr. LaBrant about Apol	14	Q	Okay. I'm going to show you a document that will
15		criteria?	15		be marked as Exhibit 256.
16	Α	Sure.	16		(Deposition Exhibit Number 256
17	Q	Okay. Specifically with reference to what	17		was marked for identification.)
18		Mr. McCotter is referencing in this email, have you	18	Q	(MR. KELLEY) Document 256 has a Bates number of
19		talked to Mr. LaBrant about Apol criteria?	19		SENATE0006121. Just take a moment, please, and
20	Α	I don't recall any specifics or anything.	20		review that document, and let me know when you've
21	Q	Do you have an understanding of what Mr. McCotter	21		had a chance to do so.
22		was referencing when he said "the left's BS line on	22	Α	Okay.
23		Apol"? Do you have any idea what that means?	23	Q	First of all, do you have any recollection of
24	Α		24		seeing this email before?
25	Q	Do you agree with Mr. McCotter that there is,	25	Α	Vague.

Deposition of Joe Hune - 8/22/2018 League of Women Voters of Michigan, et al. v. Ruth Johnson, Secretary of the State of Michigan

		Page 162			Page 164
1	Q	Okay. What do you recall about the content of that	1		standards.
2		hearing, specifically about Mr. Gordon's testimony?	2	Q	So is that part of the building a record that we
3	Α	I remember seeing Gary. Of course, this was seven	3		talked about?
4		years ago. I remember seeing Gary. I don't	4	Α	I'm not sure what you're referring to.
5		remember the content, details of the content.	5	Q	Exhibit 259, which is LEGR005291, there's a
6	Q	What was the purpose of Mr. Gordon testifying at	6		handwritten notation to, in the upper right-hand
7		the hearing about the Apol criteria?	7		corner, building a record.
8	Α	To discuss the standards we have in place.	8	Α	I think it's building a record and informing and
9	Q	This is just a yes or no question. Yes or no: You	9		educating my committee members and educating the
10		were receiving legal advice about the application	10		public.
11		of the Apol criteria to the maps that were being	11	Q	Okay. Back to Exhibit 261. Next to Number 2,
12		drawn in the 2011 redistricting process, correct?	12		which is "database status update and political
13		Well, yes or no?	13		data," there's some handwritten notations including
14	Α	I don't know if you can call that legal advice	14		"Do it right - not fast."
15		when because he's an attorney but testifies in	15		Do you recall being at a meeting in which
16		front of a committee, gives you a dissertation,	16		that message was conveyed, do it right, not fast?
17		some	17		MR. SHANNON: I'm going to object on the
18	Q	That's fair. I asked a bad question.	18		basis of attorney-client privilege and instruct my
19		Not speaking about the hearing in	19		client not to answer to the extent the meeting
20		particular, at these, for example, at the Dickinson	20		involved attorneys and was for the purpose of
21		Wright meetings where Mr. Ellsworth and Mr. Stuckey	21		seeking legal advice.
22		were present, you from time to time received legal	22	Q	(MR. KELLEY) Well, let's just break it down.
23		advice about the application of the Apol standards	23		Did you ever receive that message, "Do it
24		to the maps that were being drawn, correct?	24		right, not fast," in connection with the
25		MR. SHANNON: I'm going to object on the	25		redistricting process?
		Page 163			Page 165
1		basis of attorney-client privilege and instruct my	1		•
2		client not to answer.	2		MR. HUGHES: Object to the extent that an
3		MR. KELLEY: I mean, that would appear on	3		attorney that it was advice from an attorney in
4			4		a meeting, subject to attorney-client privilege.
5		a privilege log, right, communication about Apol	5		MR. KELLEY: Yeah, but we need MR. HUGHES: Breaking it down does not
		standards?)		
		MR. SHANNON: But you asked the follow-up			-
6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether
6 7		which was application to the maps at issue.	7		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him
6 7 8		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a	7 8		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney
6 7 8 9		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum.	7 8 9		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there.
6 7 8 9 10		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more	7 8 9 10		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere
6 7 8 9 10 11		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here.	7 8 9 10 11		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire
6 7 8 9 10 11		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay.	7 8 9 10 11		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright	7 8 9 10 11 12 13		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he ?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.)	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal advice in private at these Dickinson Wright	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't involve a communication that's subject to the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't involve a communication that's subject to the attorney-client privilege.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal advice in private at these Dickinson Wright meetings, then why did you need Mr. Gordon to speak at the hearing about the Apol criteria?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't involve a communication that's subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. SHANNON: It may well. So to the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal advice in private at these Dickinson Wright meetings, then why did you need Mr. Gordon to speak at the hearing about the Apol criteria? We had multiple members on the Redistricting	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't involve a communication that's subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. SHANNON: It may well. So to the extent it involved an attorney-client privilege, my
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	which was application to the maps at issue. MR. KELLEY: Well, they don't apply in a vacuum. MR. SHANNON: But you're asking a more specific question here. MR. KELLEY: Okay. (MR. KELLEY) Did you receive legal advice about the Apol criteria at any of these Dickinson Wright meetings? MR. KELLEY: Can he? MR. SHANNON: (Moves head up and down.) THE WITNESS: Yes. (MR. KELLEY) Okay. So if you were receiving legal advice in private at these Dickinson Wright meetings, then why did you need Mr. Gordon to speak at the hearing about the Apol criteria?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		MR. KELLEY: We need to determine whether an attorney was there, and you're not letting him answer the question to get to whether an attorney was there. If he had I mean, we disagree the mere presence of an attorney cloaks the entire conversation with privilege, but accepting that for the moment, we need to decide whether a lawyer was there or not, right? So, I mean, he needs to be able to answer that question, does he not? MR. HUGHES: He can answer to the extent that it does not involve a communication subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. KELLEY: And a yes or no answer won't involve a communication that's subject to the attorney-client privilege. MR. SHANNON: It may well. So to the